

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,988	08/22/2003	Darius D. Gaskins	CNTR.2209	1141
23669 HUFFMAN L	7590 05/23/2007 AW GROUP, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1900 MESA AVE. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906			CONNOLLY, MARK A	
·	3FKINGS, CO 80900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115 .	
• •				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@HUFFMANLAW.NET

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,988	GASKINS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Connolly	2115				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	1					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the part of the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the prov	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u>	April 2007.					
_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 8/22/03 is/are: a)⊠ a		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/20,3/7,2/13,1/8 & 10/19.		nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,988 Page 2

Art Unit: 2115

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 have been presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mittal¹ in view of Oh US Pat No 7069463.
- 4. Referring to claim 1, Mittal teaches the apparatus substantially including:
 - a. a plurality of functional units each including a corresponding plurality of activity outputs, for indicating when a respective functional unit is enabled [figs. 1 and 5].
 - b. utilization assessment logic, coupled to said plurality of activity outputs, for assessing activity thereof to determine a current total power consumption value for the microprocessor [col. 5 lines 30-42 and col. 11 lines 54-58].
 - c. power control logic, coupled to said utilization assessment logic, for comparing said current total power consumption value with a threshold power value included in a specified power profile [col. 5 lines 30-42 and col. 11 lines 54-58].
 - d. a power consumption controller, coupled to said power management logic and said plurality of functional units, for engaging one of a plurality of power reduction

¹ As cited in the previous office action

Art Unit: 2115

modes if said current total power consumption value exceeds said threshold power value [abstract and col. 5 lines 25-29].

Although Mittal teaches a specified power profile, it is not explicitly taught that the specified power profile is selected from a plurality of power profiles stored within the power control logic. Oh explicitly teaches a means for selecting a power profile from a plurality of preset profiles, which include a threshold power value [figs. 6-7, abstract and col. 5 lines 21-26]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a plurality of power profiles from which to select from as taught by Oh into the Mittal system because it provides a means to regulate power consumption during a battery operation mode, wherein available power decreases over time, thus reducing power consumption and extending the operating time of the system as taught by Oh [abstract].

- 5. Referring to claims 2-6, applicant(s) numerous definitions of a "functional unit" (claims 2-6) is construed to be an admission that the criticality does not reside in the type of "functional unit" utilized and hence obvious variations of one another. Mittal explicitly teaches a functional unit as being a functional unit as being a cache [col. 7 lines 43-50, col. 10 lines 52-59 and col. 11 lines 54-58]. Not accessing a cache is interpreted as disabling the usage of the cache. In addition, Mittal teaches that branch predictors and floating point units also consume substantial amounts of power just like cache memories [col. 2 lines 25-31 and col. 3 lines 5-8]. Furthermore, Mittal teaches reducing the rate of instructions [col. 3 lines 14-17]. It is interpreted that the instructions would be issued to an execute unit.
- 6. Referring to claims 7 and 8, Mittal teaches reducing a voltage and/or clock frequency [col. 5 lines 1-4].

Application/Control Number: 10/646,988

Art Unit: 2115

7. Referring to claim 9, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Furthermore, Mittal teaches assessing the activity of individual functional units [fig. 5 and col. 11 lines 38-39 and 54-58].

Page 4

- 8. Referring to claim 10, Mittal teaches a control bus coupled between power management logic and power consumption controller [109 fig. 1A and 507, 508 fig. 5].
- 9. Referring to claims 11 and 12, Mittal teaches sending commands over a control bus to instruct power consumption controller to control the power consumption of either an individual functional unit or all functional units [col. 11 lines 21-32 and col. 12 lines 28-41].
- 10. Referring to claim 13, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.
- 11. Referring to claim 14, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Mittal teaches the apparatus and therefore teaches the method performed by the apparatus. In addition, Mittal further teaches prescribing a power profile to the device [col. 5 lines 43-61].
- 12. Referring to claims 15-22, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (571) 272-3666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2115

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Connolly Examiner Art Unit 2115

mc May 7, 2007